



PRODUCT COMPONENT

DEFINITION			
<i>Name</i>	Common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA)		
<i>Description</i>	CORBA, short for Common Object Request Broker Architecture , is an architecture that enables pieces of programs, called objects, to communicate with one another regardless of what programming language they were written in or what operating system they're running on. CORBA was developed by an industry consortium known as the Object Management Group.		
<i>Rationale</i>	Agencies have a necessity to communicate across different platforms and operating systems.		
<i>Benefits</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ideally suited to use with legacy systems, and to ensure that applications written now will be accessible in the future which allows Missouri State agencies to preserve current investments in legacy systems • Allows state agencies to collaborate across different platforms • Allows state agencies to reuse existing code. 		
ASSOCIATED ARCHITECTURE LEVELS			
<i>Specify the Domain Name</i>	Interoperability		
<i>Specify the Discipline Name</i>	Application Interoperability		
<i>Specify the name of the associated Technology Area</i>	Distributed Object Interoperability Artifact		
KEYWORDS			
<i>List Keywords</i>	CORBA, object, brokers, Object Management Group (OMG), CORBA compliance, IDL		
VENDOR INFORMATION			
<i>Vendor Name</i>	OMG, Inc.	<i>Website</i>	http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/corba_iiop.htm
<i>Contact Information</i>	See website.		
POTENTIAL COMPLIANCE SOURCES			
<i>Name</i>	OMG, Inc.	<i>Website</i>	http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/corba_iiop.htm
<i>Contact Information</i>	See website.		
<i>Name</i>		<i>Website</i>	
<i>Contact Information</i>			

COMPONENT REVIEW		
<i>List Desirable aspects</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With CORBA objects, as well as with the data and databases they interact with, code can be reused with little effort by many different client applications. • Services can be written in many different languages, executed on many different platforms, and accessed by any language with an interface definition language (IDL) mapping. • With IDL, the interface is clearly separated from implementation, and developers can create different implementations based on the same interface. • Supports primitive data types and a wide range of data structures, as parameters • Ideally suited to use with legacy systems, and to ensure that applications written now will be accessible in the future • An easy way to link objects and systems together • May offer greater performance 	
<i>List Undesirable aspects</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describing services require the use of an interface definition language (IDL) which must be learned. Implementing or using services require an IDL mapping to your required language - writing one for a language that isn't supported would take a large amount of work. • IDL to language mapping tools create code stubs based on the interface - some tools may not integrate new changes with existing code. • Does not support the transfer of objects, or code. • Not all classes of applications need real-time performance, and speed may be traded off against ease of use for pure Java systems. 	
<i>Operating System</i>		<i>Platform</i> Platform independent
ASSOCIATED COMPLIANCE COMPONENTS		
Product		
<i>List the Product-specific Compliance Component Names</i>		
Configuration Links		
<i>List the Configuration-specific Compliance Component Names</i>		
COMPONENT CLASSIFICATION		
<i>Provide the Classification</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Emerging</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Current</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Twilight</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Sunset</i>	
<i>Sunset Date</i>		
COMPONENT SUB-CLASSIFICATION		
Sub-Classification	Date	Additional Sub-Classification Information
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Technology Watch</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Variance</i>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Conditional Use</i>		

RATIONALE FOR COMPONENT CLASSIFICATION			
<i>Document the Rationale for Component Classification</i>			
MIGRATION STRATEGY			
<i>Document the Migration Strategy</i>			
IMPACT POSITION STATEMENT			
<i>Document the Position Statement on Impact</i>			
AGENCIES			
<i>List the Agencies Currently Utilizing this Product</i>			
CURRENT STATUS			
<i>Provide the Current Status</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>In Development</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> <i>Under Review</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Approved</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Rejected</i>
AUDIT TRAIL			
<i>Creation Date</i>	02/08/05	<i>Date Approved / Rejected</i>	10/11/05
<i>Reason for Rejection</i>			
<i>Last Date Reviewed</i>		<i>Last Date Updated</i>	
<i>Reason for Update</i>			